

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Northeast District Office 2110 E. Aurora Road Twinsburg, Ohio 44087 (216) 425-9171



Richard F. Celeste Governor

October 21, 1988

RE: NPDES PERMIT NO. 3IC00071*CD

Mr. David J. Teston Vice President - Materials L-TEC Welding & Cutting Systems 3325 Middle Road P.O. Box 710 Ashtabula, Ohio 44004

Dear Mr. Teston:

Please find enclosed a copy of the Compliance Inspection Report discussing the survey that was conducted at your plant on October 13, 1988. As indicated in the report, L-TEC appeared to be in substantial compliance with its NPDES permit at the time of the survey.

It was noted that your facility is now separate from Linde Gas Products. Further, a new wastewater treatment system was installed in 1987, and the treatment ponds were taken out of service in 1988. These modifications will be incorporated into the upcoming NPDES permit renewal. It is recommended that L-TEC submit their permit renewal application as soon as possible in order that the renewal process begin.

During the survey the following items were noted that require your attention:

- 1) Future composite samples must be refrigerated or iced during the sampling period.
- 2) Flows from Monitoring Station 602 (treatment system effluent) should be reported daily on the Monthly Operating Reports as required by the permit.

Mr. Fritz indicated that these items would be promptly initiated.

Thank you for the courtesy extended to me during the survey. Should you have any questions or comments on the report, please contact me at (216) 425-9171.

Yours truly,

Kelvin F. Rogers

Environmental Scientist

Division of Water Pollution Control

KFR:mjo

cc: Bob Phelps, ES, DWPC, CO w/a

K. Riley, DWPC, NEDO

M. Hilovsky, DWPC, NEDO

ted States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D. C. 20460 Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Approval Expires 7-31-85 Section A: National Data System Coding Inspection Type Transaction Code **NPDES** Inspector Fac Type 128811911317 18C 304006378911 195 2d 2 1 N 2 5 Remarks 3|I|C|Ø|Ø|Ø|7|1 |* |C|D **Facility Evaluation Rating** ы QA Reserved 71 N 72 N 174 75 69 7d-4 Section B: Facility Data Name and Location of Facility Inspected L-TEC WELDING & CUTTING SYSTEMS Entry Time Permit Effective Date AM L 8-23-84 9:30 3325 MIDDLE ROAD , PO, BOX 710 Exit Time/Date **Permit Expiration Date** ASHTABULA, OHIO 44004 10/13/83 8-20-89 1:00 P.M. ASHTABULA COUNTY Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s) Title(s) Phone No(s) A.R. FRITZ PLANT ENGINEERING HANAGER 216-998-6115 J. GRISWOLD PROJECT ENGINEER 216 - 998 -6115 Name, Address of Responsible Official Title MATERIALS PRESIDENT VICE DAVID J. TESTON Phone No. Contacted 216-998-6115 ABOVE) (SAME ADDRESS AS ☑ Yes ☐ No Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) Permit s Flow Measurement N Pretreatment S Operations & Maintenance Records/Reports 5 Laboratory N Compliance Schedules S Sludge Disposal 3 **Facility Site Review** Effluent/Receiving Waters Self-Monitoring Program 5 Other: Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) RENEWED ACCOUNT FOR SIGNIFICANT CHANGEL **MEEDS** TO MADES PERMIT PERMIT WAS ISSUED, THESE CHANGES INCLUDE FACILITY SINCE OCCURRED LINDE FACILITY (NOW L-TEC AND GAS) INSTALLATION (APP. 1/2 YEARS AGO) TREATHENT SYSTEM FORMER TREATHENT PONDS). ٥F COMPLIANCE REFRIGERATED AGREED REQUIREMENTS. A NPDES REFERENCE. ATTACHED Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone Date 10/21/88 NEDO /216-425-9171

Agency/Office

Regulatory Office Use Only

Date

Date

Compliance Status

Noncompliance Compliance

Signature of Reviewer

Action Taken

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 82/06/30 = June 30, 1982).

Column 18: Inspection Type. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

A — Performance Audit

E — Corps of Engrs Inspection S — Compliance Sampling

B — Biomonitoring

L — Enforcement Case Support X — Toxic Sampling

C — Compliance Evaluation

P — Pretreatment

D — Diagnostic

R — Reconnaissance Inspection

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

C — Contractor or Other Inspectors (Specify in Remarks columns)

N — NEIC Inspectors

R — EPA Regional Inspector

E — Corps of Engineers

S - State Inspector

J — Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA lead

T — Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- 1 Municipal, Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1972 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2 Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3 Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1972 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4 Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office.

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as followup on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory.

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Indicate findings (S, M, U, or N) in the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection. The heading marked "Other" may include activities such as SPCC, BMP's, and multimedia concerns.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment quidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

	Permit No.		
Sections E thru I : Complete on all inspections, as appropriate. N/A - Not Applicable	DH OC	06378	39
SECTION E. Permit Verification			
INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS VERIFY THE PERMIT. (Further explanation attached NO.)	<u>×</u> Yes	No	
GOI IS CURRENTLY ELIMINATED, GOZ RELOCATED TO EOP TREATHENT SYSTEM			
(a) CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE. NOW L-TEC WELDING & CUTTING SYSTEMS	ZeY	<u>~No</u>	
(b) FACILITY IS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT LINDE DIVISION IS NOW SEPARATE	Yes	<u>×</u> No	
(c) PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND PRODUCTION RATES CONFORM WITH THOSE SET FORTH IN PERMIT	V V	No	N / I
APPLICATION.	<u>×</u> Yes		
(d) TREATMENT PROCESSES ARE AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT APPLICATION. PONDS CLOSED, HYDRATED LIME ELIMINATED USING	Yes	× No	N 74
(e) NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO STATE OF NEW, DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES. CAUSTIC SODA	XYes	No	
(f) ACCURATE RECORDS OF INFLUENT VOLUME MAINTAINED.	Yes		<u>×</u> N//
(g) NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS ARE AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT.	<u></u> XYes	No	
(h) CORRECT NAME AND LOCATION OF RECEIVING WATERS.	× Yes	No	
(1) ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED.	<u></u> ∠Yes	No	
			
SECTION F. Operation and Maintenance			
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. (Further explanation attached NO)	<u></u> ∠Yes	No	
DETAILS:			4//4
(a) STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVISIONS PROVIDED.	Yes	× No	N/A
(b) ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.	×Yes		
	X Yes		
(d) ALL TREATMENT UNITS OTHER THAN BACKUP UNITS IN SERVICE.	XYes	No .	N/A
(e) QUALIFIED OPERATING STAFF PROVIDED.	<u>×</u> Yes	No	
(f) FILES MAINTAINED ON SPARE PARTS INVENTORY, MAJOR EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS, AND PARTS AND EQUIPMENT SUPPLIERS.	× Yes	M-	AI /A
(g) ROUTINE AND PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE ARE SCHEDULED/PERFORMED ON TIME.	X Yes	No .	
(h) ANY MAJOR EQUIPMENT BREAKDOWN SINCE LAST INSPECTION.		No .	
(i) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL PROVIDED AND MAINTAINED.	Yes Yes		_
(j) APPROVED SPCC PLAN AVAILABLE. DATE LAST UPDATED 11-85		No .	N/A
(k) REGULATORY AGENCY NOTIFIED OF BYPASSING.[Dates]	<u>X</u> Yes	No .	N/A
(1) ANY BYPASSING SINCE LAST INSPECTION.	Yes		<u>×</u> _N/A
(m) ANY HYDRAULIC AND/OR ORGANIC OVERLOADS EXPERIENCED.(Further explanation attached NO)	Yes	<u></u> XNo	
This was inturvalle wabyon ordanic deckloads excentenced. (Further explanation affached to)		_Z_No	
SECTION G. Compliance Schedules			
PERMITTEE IS MEETING COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE: (Further explanation attached NO.)	Yes	No.	× N/A
COMMENTS/STATUS: NO COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE FOR CURRENT PERMIT	143		<u> </u>
			1
SECTION H - Self-Monitoring Program			
Part I - Flow measurement (Further explanation attached NO)			
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PERMIT.	Yes	× No	i
NEED TO REPORT FLOW DAILY AT STATION 602 - 601 FREQUENCY NEEDS HO			ſ
(a) PRIMARY MEASURING DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED.	× Yes	No	N/A
TYPE OF DEVICE: []WEIR [X]PARSHALL FLUME []MAGMETER []VENTURI METER [X]OTHER (
(b) CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (Date of last calibration 1/88)	× Yes	No	N/A
(c) PRIMARY FLOW MEASURING DEVICE PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	Yes	No _	N/A
* · · · * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X Yes	No _	-\\\\\
	<u></u> X Yes	No _	N/A
IN MICH DEADER AND DECEMBER WITH	XYes	No _	-"1
A SATURE FLAN BLOOM BOOK IN CONTROL	X Yes	No	j
(h) FREQUENCY OF MAINTENANCE INSPECTIONS BY PLANT PERSONNEL.	365	/year	į
		_, ,	1

Part 2 - Sampling (Further expnation attached_NO)	Perm OH ØØ0	nit No. 63789
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PERMIT. Details:	<u>×</u> Yes	No
(a) LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. (b) PARAMETERS AND SAMPLING FREQUENCY AGREE WITH PERMIT. (c) PERMITTEE IS USING METHOD OF SAMPLE COLLECTION REQUIRED BY PERMIT. REQUIRED METHOD 24 NR. COMPOSITE	<u>×</u> Yes <u>×</u> Yes <u>×</u> Yes	No No No
IF NO []GRAB []MANUAL COMPOSITE []AUTOMATIC COMPOSITE FREQUENCY	<u>X</u> Yes <u>Yes</u> <u>X</u> Yes	No No No
CONFORM WITH 40 CFR 136.3 (e) MONITORING AND ANALYSES BEING PERFORMED MORE FREQUENTLY THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. (f) IF (e) IS YES, RESULTS ARE REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT. (g) MONITORING RECORDS (e.g., flow, pH, D.O., etc.) MAINTAINED FOR A MINIMUM OF THREE YEARS INCLUDING ALL ORIGINAL STRIP CHART RECORDINGS (e.g. continuous monitori Instrumentation, calibration and maintenance records).	Yes Yes	× No No × N//
(h) ADEQUATE RECORDS MAINTAINED OF SAMPLING DATA, TIME, EXACT LOCATION, ETC.	Yes	No
Part 3 - Laboratory (Further explanation attached NO) PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PERMIT. DETAILS:	<u>×</u> Yes	NoN//
(a) EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL TESTING PROCEDURES USED. (40 CFR 136.3) (b) IF ALTERNATE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED. (c) PARAMETERS OTHER THAN THOSE REQUIRED BY THE PERMIT ARE ANALYZED. (d) SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT. (e) QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES USED. (f) DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED > 10	Yes Yes <u>x</u> Yes <u>x</u> Yes <u>x</u> Yes	NoN// NoN// NoNo
(h) ADEQUATE RECORDS MAINTAINED OF: (i) ANALYSES DATES, TIME (ii) INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING ANALYSIS (iii) ANALYTICAL METHODS/TECHNIQUES USED (iv) ANALYTICAL RESULTS (e.g., consistent with self-monitoring report data) (v) LAB EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE (vi) QUALITY ASSURANCE (i) RESULTS OF LATEST USEPA QUALITY ASSURANCE PERFORMANCE SAMPLING PROGRAM. DATE: DID (ii) RESULTS OF LATEST USEPA QUALITY ASSURANCE PERFORMANCE SAMPLING PROGRAM. DATE: DID (iii) RESULTS OF LATEST USEPA QUALITY ASSURANCE PERFORMANCE SAMPLING PROGRAM.	XYes XYes XYes XYes XYes XYes XYes XYes	No No No No No
[]SATISFACTORY []MARGINAL []UNSATISFACTORY (j) COMMERICAL LABORATORY USED. (i) PARAMETERS ANALYZED BY COMMERCIAL LAB. ———————————————————————————————————	Yes	No
LAB NAME. ELKEN LABORATORY ELKEN METALS, ASHTAB	oc A	
SECTION 1. Effluent/Receiving Water Observations (Further explanation attached) VISIBLE VISIBLE		
OUTFALL NO. OIL SHEEN GREASE TURBIDITY FOAM FLOAT SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER NO
602 — — — —		CLEAR





